

<p style="text-align: center;">Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</p>	<p>Application No. 10/591,754</p> <p>Examiner JAE W. LEE</p>	<p>Applicant(s) YOSHIYAMA ET AL</p> <p>Art Unit 1656</p>
--	--	--

All Participants:

(1) JAE W. LEE

(2) William Schmidt II

Date of Interview: 17 June 2010

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
a potential 112 2nd paragraph rejection

Claims discussed:
 1, 2, 4, 5, 8, 9, 12 and 21-31

Prior art documents discussed:
 N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
The Examiner explained the nature of the proposed Examiner's amendment which would likely put the claims into condition for allowance (see attached Examiner's amendment) because claim 9 had some issues under 35 USC 112 2nd paragraph.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: After final rejection

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)